

# ON THE DIFFERENCE OF PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS

Nurdagül Anbar

(joint work with Almasa Odžak, Vandita Patel, Luciane  
Quoos, Anna Somoza, Alev Topuzoğlu)

RICAM, LINZ, AUSTRIA

4-10 June 2017, Fq13

# WIN-E2: Women in Numbers Europe 2, September 2016 (Organized by Irene Bouw, Rachel Newton, Ekin Özman)



# OUTLINE

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 RECENT WORK
- 3 IDEA OF THE PROOF

# CHOWLA–ZASSENHAUS CONJECTURE

$\mathbb{F}_q$ : the finite field of order  $q$

Any map  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial of degree  $< q$ .

DEFINITION:

$f$  is called a **permutation polynomial (PP)** if  $f$  is a bijection.

DEFINITION:

A PP  $f$  is called a **complete mapping polynomial (CMP)** if  $f(x) + x$  is also a PP.

**Chowla–Zassenhaus Conjecture (1968):** Let  $p$  be *prime* with  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Then there is no CMP of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

# CHOWLA–ZASSENHAUS CONJECTURE

$\mathbb{F}_q$ : the finite field of order  $q$

Any map  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial of degree  $< q$ .

DEFINITION:

$f$  is called a **permutation polynomial (PP)** if  $f$  is a bijection.

DEFINITION:

A PP  $f$  is called a **complete mapping polynomial (CMP)** if  $f(x) + x$  is also a PP.

**Chowla–Zassenhaus Conjecture (1968):** Let  $p$  be *prime* with  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Then there is no CMP of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

## CHOWLA–ZASSENHAUS CONJECTURE

$\mathbb{F}_q$ : the finite field of order  $q$

Any map  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial of degree  $< q$ .

DEFINITION:

$f$  is called a **permutation polynomial (PP)** if  $f$  is a bijection.

DEFINITION:

A PP  $f$  is called a **complete mapping polynomial (CMP)** if  $f(x) + x$  is also a PP.

**Chowla–Zassenhaus Conjecture (1968):** Let  $p$  be prime with  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Then there is no CMP of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

# CHOWLA–ZASSENHAUS CONJECTURE

$\mathbb{F}_q$ : the finite field of order  $q$

Any map  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial of degree  $< q$ .

**DEFINITION:**

$f$  is called a **permutation polynomial (PP)** if  $f$  is a bijection.

**DEFINITION:**

A PP  $f$  is called a **complete mapping polynomial (CMP)** if  $f(x) + x$  is also a PP.

**Chowla–Zassenhaus Conjecture (1968):** Let  $p$  be *prime* with  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Then there is no CMP of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

The Chowla–Zassenhaus conjecture was proven by Stephen D. Cohen in 1990.

**THEOREM(COHEN, MULLEN AND SHIUE, 1995):**

Let  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If  $f$  and  $h$  are PPs of degree  $d$ , then  $\deg(f - h) \geq \frac{3d}{5}$ .

A *non-existence* result similar to the Chowla–Zassenhaus conjecture is given by L. Işık, A. Topuzoğlu and A. Guenther Winterhof in 2016.



The Chowla–Zassenhaus conjecture was proven by Stephen D. Cohen in 1990.

**THEOREM(COHEN, MULLEN AND SHIUE, 1995):**

Let  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If  $f$  and  $h$  are PPs of degree  $d$ , then  $\deg(f - h) \geq \frac{3d}{5}$ .

A *non-existence* result similar to the Chowla–Zassenhaus conjecture is given by L. Işık, A. Topuzoğlu and A. Guenther Winterhof in 2016.

The Chowla–Zassenhaus conjecture was proven by Stephen D. Cohen in 1990.

**THEOREM(COHEN, MULLEN AND SHIUE, 1995):**

Let  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If  $f$  and  $h$  are PPs of degree  $d$ , then  $\deg(f - h) \geq \frac{3d}{5}$ .

A *non-existence* result similar to the Chowla–Zassenhaus conjecture is given by L. Işık, A. Topuzoğlu and A. Guenther Winterhof in 2016.

**Fact:** The set of PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms a group  $G$  under composition and reduction modulo  $x^q - x$ .

**Theorem (Carlitz, 1952):**  $G$  is generated by  $x^{q-2}$  and  $ax + b$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

**Corollary:** If  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  is a PP, then  $f(c) = P_n(c)$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , where

$$P_n(x) = \left( \cdots \left( (a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2 \right)^{q-2} + \cdots + a_n \right)^{q-2} + a_{n+1}$$

for some  $n \geq 0$ ,  $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $a_1, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Fact:** The set of PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms a group  $G$  under composition and reduction modulo  $x^q - x$ .

**Theorem (Carlitz, 1952):**  $G$  is generated by  $x^{q-2}$  and  $ax + b$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

**Corollary:** If  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  is a PP, then  $f(c) = P_n(c)$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , where

$$P_n(x) = \left( \cdots \left( (a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2 \right)^{q-2} + \cdots + a_n \right)^{q-2} + a_{n+1}$$

for some  $n \geq 0$ ,  $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $a_1, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Fact:** The set of PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms a group  $G$  under composition and reduction modulo  $x^q - x$ .

**Theorem (Carlitz, 1952):**  $G$  is generated by  $x^{q-2}$  and  $ax + b$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

**Corollary:** If  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  is a PP, then  $f(c) = P_n(c)$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , where

$$P_n(x) = \left( \cdots \left( (a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2 \right)^{q-2} + \cdots + a_n \right)^{q-2} + a_{n+1}$$

for some  $n \geq 0$ ,  $a_0, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $a_1, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

# NON-EXISTENCE OF CMP IN TERMS OF CARLITZ RANK AND LINEARITY

## DEFINITION:

The **Carlitz rank** of a PP  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , denoted by  $\text{Crk}(f)$ ,

$$\text{Crk}(f) = \min\{n \mid f(c) = P_n(c) \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

**Recall:** The **linearity** of  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \max_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#\{c \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid f(c) = ac + b\}.$$

**THEOREM** (IŞIK, TOPUZOĞLU, WINTERHOF, 2016):

Let  $f$  be a PP over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$  with  $\mathcal{L}(f) < (q + 5)/2$ . If  $q > 2n + 1$ , then  $f$  is not a CMP.

# NON-EXISTENCE OF CMP IN TERMS OF CARLITZ RANK AND LINEARITY

## DEFINITION:

The **Carlitz rank** of a PP  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , denoted by  $\text{Crk}(f)$ ,

$$\text{Crk}(f) = \min\{n \mid f(c) = P_n(c) \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

**Recall:** The **linearity** of  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \max_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#\{c \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid f(c) = ac + b\}.$$

**THEOREM** (IŞIK, TOPUZOĞLU, WINTERHOF, 2016):

Let  $f$  be a PP over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$  with  $\mathcal{L}(f) < (q + 5)/2$ . If  $q > 2n + 1$ , then  $f$  is not a CMP.

# NON-EXISTENCE OF CMP IN TERMS OF CARLITZ RANK AND LINEARITY

## DEFINITION:

The **Carlitz rank** of a PP  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , denoted by  $\text{Crk}(f)$ ,

$$\text{Crk}(f) = \min\{n \mid f(c) = P_n(c) \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

**Recall:** The **linearity** of  $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \max_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#\{c \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid f(c) = ac + b\}.$$

## THEOREM (IŞIK, TOPUZOĞLU, WINTERHOF, 2016):

Let  $f$  be a PP over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$  with  $\mathcal{L}(f) < (q + 5)/2$ . If  $q > 2n + 1$ , then  $f$  is not a CMP.



**Idea of the proof:** For  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$(ax + b)^{q-2} = \begin{cases} 1/(ax + b) & \text{if } ax + b \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\implies f(x) = P_n(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} = R(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the set of poles.

$\implies f(x) + x = R(x) + x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ .

If there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and

$$R(x_1) + x_1 = R(x_2) + x_2 = e, \quad (*)$$

then  $x_1$  or  $x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ .

(\*) holds  $\iff p_e(x) := cx^2 + (a + d + ce)x + de$  has roots in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

□

**Idea of the proof:** For  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$(ax + b)^{q-2} = \begin{cases} 1/(ax + b) & \text{if } ax + b \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\implies f(x) = P_n(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} = R(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the set of poles.

$\implies f(x) + x = R(x) + x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ .

If there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and

$$R(x_1) + x_1 = R(x_2) + x_2 = e, \quad (*)$$

then  $x_1$  or  $x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ .

(\*) holds  $\iff p_e(x) := cx^2 + (a + d + ce)x + de$  has roots in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

□

**Idea of the proof:** For  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$(ax + b)^{q-2} = \begin{cases} 1/(ax + b) & \text{if } ax + b \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\implies f(x) = P_n(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} = R(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the set of poles.

$\implies f(x) + x = R(x) + x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ .

If there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and

$$R(x_1) + x_1 = R(x_2) + x_2 = e, \quad (*)$$

then  $x_1$  or  $x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ .

(\*) holds  $\iff p_e(x) := cx^2 + (a + d + ce)x + de$  has roots in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

□

**Idea of the proof:** For  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$(ax + b)^{q-2} = \begin{cases} 1/(ax + b) & \text{if } ax + b \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\implies f(x) = P_n(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} = R(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the set of poles.

$\implies f(x) + x = R(x) + x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ .

If there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and

$$R(x_1) + x_1 = R(x_2) + x_2 = e, \quad (*)$$

then  $x_1$  or  $x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ .

(\*) holds  $\iff p_e(x) := cx^2 + (a + d + ce)x + de$  has roots in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

□

**Idea of the proof:** For  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$(ax + b)^{q-2} = \begin{cases} 1/(ax + b) & \text{if } ax + b \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\implies f(x) = P_n(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} = R(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the set of poles.

$\implies f(x) + x = R(x) + x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}$ .

If there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and

$$R(x_1) + x_1 = R(x_2) + x_2 = e, \quad (*)$$

then  $x_1$  or  $x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ .

(\*) holds  $\iff p_e(x) := cx^2 + (a + d + ce)x + de$  has roots in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

□

**THEOREM (AOPQST, 2017):**

Let  $f$  and  $f + g$  be PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$ ,  $\deg(g) = k$  with  $1 \leq k < q - 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(f) < (q + 5)/2$ . Then

$$nk + k(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n - \mu ,$$

where  $\mu = \gcd(k, q - 1)$ .

**Remark:** This result is similar to the one given by Cohen, Mullen and Shiue in 1995.

**Recall(Cohen, Mullen, Shiue, 1995):** Let  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If  $f$  and  $h$  are PPs of degree  $d$ , then  $\deg(f - h) \geq \frac{3d}{5}$ .

**COROLLARY:**

$k = 1 \implies$  the non-existence result given by Işık, Topuzoğlu, Winterhof in 2016

**THEOREM (AOPQST, 2017):**

Let  $f$  and  $f + g$  be PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$ ,  $\deg(g) = k$  with  $1 \leq k < q - 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(f) < (q + 5)/2$ . Then

$$nk + k(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n - \mu ,$$

where  $\mu = \gcd(k, q - 1)$ .

**Remark:** This result is similar to the one given by Cohen, Mullen and Shiue in 1995.

**Recall(Cohen, Mullen, Shiue, 1995):** Let  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If  $f$  and  $h$  are PPs of degree  $d$ , then  $\deg(f - h) \geq \frac{3d}{5}$ .

**COROLLARY:**

$k = 1 \implies$  the non-existence result given by Işık, Topuzoğlu, Winterhof in 2016

**THEOREM (AOPQST, 2017):**

Let  $f$  and  $f + g$  be PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$ ,  $\deg(g) = k$  with  $1 \leq k < q - 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(f) < (q + 5)/2$ . Then

$$nk + k(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n - \mu ,$$

where  $\mu = \gcd(k, q - 1)$ .

**Remark:** This result is similar to the one given by Cohen, Mullen and Shiue in 1995.

**Recall(Cohen, Mullen, Shiue, 1995):** Let  $p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If  $f$  and  $h$  are PPs of degree  $d$ , then  $\deg(f - h) \geq \frac{3d}{5}$ .

**COROLLARY:**

$k = 1 \implies$  the non-existence result given by Işık, Topuzoğlu, Winterhof in 2016



**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

**Idea of the proof:** To relate rational points of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to poles of  $f$ .

$$f(x) = R(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

$$H(x) := R(x) + g(x) \implies f(x) + g(x) = H(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

If  $H(x) = H(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $x$  or  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Cohen (1970)  $\implies \frac{H(X)-H(Y)}{X-Y}$  has an absolutely irreducible factor  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

$\mathcal{X}$ : the projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $f$

For a rational point  $[x : y : 1] \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $H(x) = H(y)$ .

$\implies$  # of rational points  $N(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a lower bound on  $\#\mathcal{O} \leq n$

By the Hasse-Weil Bound,

$$N(\mathcal{X}) \geq q + 1 - k(k - 1)\sqrt{q}$$

Bezout's Theorem  $\implies nk + k(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n - \mu$ , where  $\mu = \gcd(k, q - 1)$

□

**THEOREM (AOPQST, 2017):**

Let  $f$  and  $f + cx^k$  be PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $1 \leq k < q - 1$  and  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$ . If the last pole of  $f$  is zero, then

$$k(n + 3) - (m - 1)(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n ,$$

where  $m = \gcd(k + 1, q - 1)$ . In particular, if  $m = 1$ , then  $k \geq (q - n)(n + 3)$ .



By the Hasse-Weil Bound,

$$N(\mathcal{X}) \geq q + 1 - k(k - 1)\sqrt{q}$$

Bezout's Theorem  $\implies nk + k(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n - \mu$ , where  $\mu = \gcd(k, q - 1)$

□

**THEOREM (AOPQST, 2017):**

Let  $f$  and  $f + cx^k$  be PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $1 \leq k < q - 1$  and  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$ . If the last pole of  $f$  is zero, then

$$k(n + 3) - (m - 1)(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n ,$$

where  $m = \gcd(k + 1, q - 1)$ . In particular, if  $m = 1$ , then  $k \geq (q - n)(n + 3)$ .

By the Hasse-Weil Bound,

$$N(\mathcal{X}) \geq q + 1 - k(k - 1)\sqrt{q}$$

Bezout's Theorem  $\implies nk + k(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n - \mu$ , where  $\mu = \gcd(k, q - 1)$

□

### THEOREM (AOPQST, 2017):

Let  $f$  and  $f + cx^k$  be PPs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $1 \leq k < q - 1$  and  $\text{Crk}(f) = n$ . If the last pole of  $f$  is zero, then

$$k(n + 3) - (m - 1)(k - 1)\sqrt{q} \geq q - n ,$$

where  $m = \gcd(k + 1, q - 1)$ . In particular, if  $m = 1$ , then  $k \geq (q - n)(n + 3)$ .

**Grazie per l'attenzione!**