

Locally finite groups all of whose subgroups are boundedly finite over their cores

G. CUTOLO, E.I. KHUKHRO, J.C. LENNOX, S. RINAURO, H. SMITH AND J. WIEGOLD

ABSTRACT. For n a positive integer, a group G is called *core- n* if H/H_G has order at most n for every subgroup H of G (where H_G is the normal core of H , the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H). It is proved that a locally finite core- n group G has an abelian subgroup whose index in G is bounded in terms of n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a positive integer n , a group G is called *core- n* if H/H_G has order at most n for every subgroup H of G . Here H_G denotes the normal core of H , the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H . Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Every locally finite core- n group G has an abelian subgroup whose index in G is bounded in terms of n .*

By the Mal'cev Local Theorem, it is sufficient to prove the theorem assuming the group G to be finite. A further argument [10] reduces the proof to the case where G is a finite p -group. In view of this reduction, it is natural to reformulate Theorem 1 for finite core- p^k p -groups, since the function bounding the index of an abelian subgroup then involves p and k naturally.

Theorem 2. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite core- p^k p -group, where k is a positive integer. Then G has an abelian subgroup of index at most $p^{f(k)}$, where*

$$f(k) = k(k + (k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1))((k + 1)(k^2 + k + 2) - 1) \quad \text{if } p \neq 2,$$

and

$$f(k) = (k + 1)(k + (k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1))((k + 1)(k^2 + k + 2)) + 1 \quad \text{if } p = 2.$$

The first step in proving Theorem 2 is the following result, which is also interesting in its own right.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a finite core- p^k p -group, where k is some positive integer.*

- (a) *If $p \neq 2$, then the nilpotency class of G is at most $(k + 1)(k^2 + k + 2)$.*
- (b) *If $p = 2$, then G has a subgroup of index 2 whose nilpotency class is at most $(k + 1)(k^2 + k + 2) + 1$.*

The upper bounds obtained in Theorems 1 and 2 may well be far from the truth. It might be interesting, if very difficult, to find best possible bounds. We have succeeded in doing this for finite core- p p -groups for p odd, in which case the bound is p^2 , and we have an ‘almost’ best possible bound for (arbitrary) core-2 2-groups; these results appear in [2]. Theorem 1 complements the result of [1], where it is proved that if all subgroups of a locally finite group are finite over their cores, then the group is abelian-by-finite (there is no function bounding the index of an abelian subgroup there, but also no restriction on the orders $|H/H_G|$ in the hypothesis). These results can also be viewed as duals of B. H. Neumann's theorem [7], stating: groups in which all subgroups are of finite index in their normal closures are finite-by-abelian. Some other results and discussion of further problems relating to groups all of whose subgroups are finite over their cores can be found in [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10]. Our final remark is that (unlike in B. H. Neumann's theorem) one has to impose some finiteness condition on a core-finite group (even for core- p groups). Indeed, the Tarski p -groups, constructed by A. Yu. Ol'shanskii [8] for sufficiently large p , are core- p but not abelian-by-finite.

1. THE NILPOTENCY CLASS OF FINITE CORE- p^k p -GROUPS

We begin by establishing some notation and making some elementary observations. We denote by $[A, {}_m B]$ the commutator subgroup

$$[\dots [A, \underbrace{B, \dots, B}_m].$$

Throughout this section, in which we prove Theorem 3, G will denote a finite core- p^k p -group, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and p is an arbitrary prime unless otherwise stated. Since $\langle g^{p^k} \rangle$ is normal in G for all $g \in G$, it follows easily that $[G^{p^k}, G'] = 1$ (and hence, incidentally, that G^{p^k} has class at most 2). Writing $B = G^{p^k} \cap G'$ and $A = B^{p^k}$, we

see that B is abelian and that all subgroups of A are therefore G -invariant. Since $G/C_G(A)$ is abelian and of exponent at most p^k , it is clear that the following lemma ought to be of some use to us.

Lemma 1.1. *Let p be a prime and let $\langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order p^t , and suppose that Γ is a p -subgroup of $\text{Aut} \langle a \rangle$ having exponent at most p^k .*

- (i) *If p is odd, then $\langle a \rangle$ has a Γ -central series of length at most $k + 1$.*
- (ii) *If $p = 2$, then $\langle a \rangle$ has a Γ_1 -central series of length at most $k + 2$, where Γ_1 is a subgroup of index at most 2 in Γ .*

Proof. For $t = 1$ the result is immediate, so we shall assume that $t \geq 2$. Let α denote the automorphism given by $a^\alpha = a^{1+p}$. If p is odd, then α generates the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Aut} \langle a \rangle$ and has order p^{t-1} , so that $\Gamma = \langle \alpha^{p^\lambda} \rangle$ for some λ such that $\lambda + \kappa \geq t - 1$. Since $(1+p)^{p^\lambda} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{\lambda+1}}$, we see that Γ acts trivially on each of the factors $\langle a^{p^{i(\lambda+1)}} \rangle / \langle a^{p^{(i+1)(\lambda+1)}} \rangle$, $i \geq 0$. Since $(k+1)(\lambda+1) \geq t$, part (i) of the lemma now follows. For $p = 2$, we note that $\langle \alpha \rangle$ has index at most 2 in $\text{Aut} \langle a \rangle$ and, setting $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \cap \langle \alpha \rangle$, we have that $\Gamma_1 = \langle \alpha^{2^\lambda} \rangle$ for some λ satisfying $\lambda + k \geq t - 2$. Part (ii) is then proved as above. \square

Our next lemma will enable us to deal with the factor B/A and will also be of use in bounding the class of G/G^{p^k} .

Lemma 1.2. *If E is a normal abelian section of G of exponent p^l ($l \geq 1$), then E has a G -central series of length at most $l(k+1)$.*

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that $l = 1$, and we may as well assume that E is a (normal) subgroup of G . Then $E = (E \cap Z(G)) \times F$ for some F and, by the core- p^k property, F has order at most p^k (since every nontrivial normal subgroup of G intersects $Z(G)$ nontrivially). It follows easily that $[E, {}_{k+1}G] = 1$, as required. \square

Lemma 1.3. *G/G^{p^k} has nilpotency class at most $k + k^2(k+1)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $G^{p^k} = 1$. Let U be a maximal normal abelian subgroup of G and let $C = C_G(U/U^p)$. Then C stabilizes the series

$$U \geq U^p \geq U^{p^2} \geq \dots \geq U^{p^k} = 1$$

and, since $U = C_G(U)$, we deduce that C/U has class at most $k - 1$ (see [4, Theorem 1.C.1]) and hence that C has derived length at most k . Applying Lemma 1.2 to the factors of the derived series of C we see that $C \leq Z_{k^2(k+1)}(G)$. Further, G/C is isomorphic to a group of automorphisms of $\bar{U} = U/U^p$ and, by Lemma 1.2, $[\bar{U}, {}_{k+1}(G/C)] = 1$, which gives G/C of class at most k . The result follows. \square

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 3. With the notation as previously established, all we need to do is to provide the bounds obtained in our lemmas. For p odd, we use the facts that $[G'G^{p^k}, A] = 1$ and every subgroup of A is G -invariant, then apply Lemma 1.1 to show that $[A, {}_{k+1}G] = 1$ (we remind the reader that $A = (G^{p^k} \cap G')^{p^k}$). By Lemma 1.2, $[B, {}_{k(k+1)}G] \leq A$, while $[G^{p^k}, G] \leq G^{p^k} \cap G' = B$. Finally, we apply Lemma 1.3 and deduce that G has class at most

$$(k+1) + k(k+1) + 1 + (k + k^2(k+1)) = (k+1)(k^2 + k + 2),$$

thus proving Theorem 3(a).

For $p = 2$, we again have that G/A has class at most $(k+1)(k^2 + k + 1)$. Write $\Gamma = G/C_G(A)$, and let G_1 be the pre-image of the subgroup Γ_1 of index at most 2 in Γ which centralizes a series of length at most $k + 2$ in A —the existence of Γ_1 is, of course, guaranteed by Lemma 1.1, as $[G'G^{2^k}, A] = 1$. Clearly, this subgroup G_1 satisfies our requirements, and the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

2. AN ABELIAN SUBGROUP OF BOUNDED INDEX

Here we prove Theorems 1 and 2. For given m , the property that a group contains an abelian subgroup of index at most m can be written as a universal formula of predicate calculus. Hence, by the Mal'cev Local Theorem, it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for finite groups (see, for example, [4, Proposition 1.K.2]).

Next we show that Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2. Let G be a group satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1. By the well-known result of Dedekind and Baer, if every subgroup of a group is normal, then the group has an abelian subgroup of index at most 2. If p is a prime greater than n , then every p -subgroup of G is normal in G , and so the Sylow p -subgroup of G is abelian. Suppose that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G for some prime $p \leq n$. Then P is core- p^k for some k such that $p^k \leq n$ and so, by Theorem 2, P has a G -invariant abelian subgroup of index bounded in terms of p^k . Since G is the product of its Sylow p -subgroups (over all p), the result now follows easily.

Now we prove Theorem 2. Applying Theorem 3 and an easy induction argument, we are left to prove the following proposition on p -groups of nilpotency class 2.

Proposition 2.1. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite core- p^k p -group of nilpotency class 2. Then G has an abelian subgroup of index at most $p^{f(k)}$, where*

$$f(k) = k(k + (k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1)) \quad \text{if } p \neq 2,$$

and

$$f(k) = (k + 1)(k + (k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1)) \quad \text{if } p = 2.$$

Proof. We recall first some formulae that hold in any nilpotent group F of class 2; they will be used usually without reference:

$$\begin{aligned} [ab, c] &= [a, c][b, c], \\ [a^m, b] &= [a, b]^m = [a, b^m], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the exponents of $F/Z(F)$ and of F' are the same. Another consequence is that $[h, F]$ and $F/C_F(h)$ are isomorphic groups for every $h \in F$. \square

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a finite core- p^k p -group of nilpotency class 2. Then $H/Z(H)$ (and H') is of exponent at most p^k if $p \neq 2$, and at most 2^{k+1} if $p = 2$.*

Proof. We consider the case $p \neq 2$ first. We have to prove that every commutator is of order at most p^k . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $H = \langle a, b \rangle$, and that $Z(H)$ is cyclic. By induction, supposing the opposite, we may assume that $[a, b]$ has order p^{k+1} .

Now, as $[a, b]^{p^{k+1}} = 1$, both $a^{p^{k+1}}$ and $b^{p^{k+1}}$ are central, so without loss of generality, since $Z(H)$ is cyclic, we have $a^{p^{k+1}} = b^{\lambda p^{k+1}}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$(ab^{-\lambda})^{p^{k+1}} = a^{p^{k+1}} b^{-\lambda p^{k+1}} [b, a]^{-\lambda p^{k+1}(p^{k+1}-1)/2} = 1,$$

since p is odd and $[b, a]^{p^{k+1}} = 1$. So, with $a_1 := ab^{-\lambda}$, we have $G = \langle a_1, b \rangle$, where $a_1^{p^{k+1}} = 1$. By the core- p^k property, $\langle a_1^{p^k} \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of H , so $a_1^{p^k} \in Z(H)$, since it is of order at most p . Thus $[a_1, b]^{p^k} = 1$, a contradiction, since $[a_1, b] = [a, b]$.

Let now $p = 2$. Similarly, we have to prove that every commutator is of order at most 2^{k+1} , and we may assume $H = \langle a, b \rangle$, $Z(H)$ is cyclic and $[a, b]$ has order 2^{k+2} . Again, $a^{2^{k+2}} = b^{\lambda 2^{k+2}}$, so

$$(ab^{-\lambda})^{2^{k+2}} = [b, a]^{-\lambda 2^{k+1}(2^{k+2}-1)}.$$

Hence, as above, without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda = 1$ (else $a_1 := ab^{-\lambda}$ has order $\leq 2^{k+2}$, so $a_1^{2^{k+1}}$ is central and $[a_1, b] = [a, b]$ has order $\leq 2^{k+1}$, a contradiction). So, replacing $ab^{-\lambda}$ by a , we have

$$a^{2^{k+2}} = [b, a]^{2^{k+1}}$$

and a has order *precisely* 2^{k+3} . But $\langle a^{2^k} \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G , so $[a^{2^k}, b] = a^{\varepsilon 2^k}$ for some ε . But $[a, b]^{2^k}$ has order 4, so $a^{\varepsilon 2^k}$ has order 4, so $\varepsilon = 2\delta$, where δ is odd, whence $[a, b]^{2^k} = a^{\delta 2^{k+1}}$. But then $[a^{\delta 2^{k+1}}, b] = 1$, so $[a, b]^{\delta 2^{k+1}} = 1$ and hence $[a, b]^{2^{k+1}} = 1$, a contradiction that completes the proof. \square

We fix the notation $k_0 = k$ if p is odd, and $k_0 = k + 1$ if $p = 2$, so that p^{k_0} is an upper bound for the exponent of $G/Z(G)$ and of G' , by Lemma 2.1.

We proceed with the proof of Proposition 2.1. We may assume the rank of $G/Z(G)$ to be greater than k , since otherwise the index of $Z(G)$ is at most $p^{k_0 k}$. Hence the rank of $G/\Phi(G)$ is also at least $k + 1$.

Choose the largest possible N and a set of elements $\{x_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) the x_i are linearly independent modulo the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$;
- (2) $[x_i, x_j] = 1$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, N$;
- (3) the rank of $[x_i, G]$ (which is equal to the rank of $G/C_G(x_i)$) is not greater than $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)$.

To show that sets satisfying (1)–(3) do exist, take $k + 1$ elements b_1, \dots, b_{k+1} linearly independent modulo $\Phi(G)$, and generate a subgroup $B = \langle b_1, \dots, b_{k+1} \rangle$. Note that B has rank at most $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)$ (that is, each of its subgroups can be generated by $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)$ elements). Since $|B : B_G| \leq p^k$, we have $B_G \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Take $x_1 \in B_G \setminus \Phi(G)$. Then $\{x_1\}$ clearly satisfies (1) and (2). The rank of $[x_1, G]$ is at most $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)$ since $[x_1, G] \leq B_G \leq B$.

Let X be an abelian normal subgroup containing the (maximal) set $\{x_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ and $Z(G)$ (for example, take $\langle \{x_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}, Z(G) \rangle$). We shall prove that X is a desired abelian subgroup of index at most $p^{k_0(k+(k/2+1)(k+1)(2k+1))}$ in G . Since the exponent of $G/Z(G)$, and hence of G/X , is at most p^{k_0} , we need only prove that the rank of the abelian group G/X is at most $k + (k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1)$. The latter rank obviously coincides with that of $G/X\Phi(G)$, since $X \geq Z(G) \geq G'$.

Lemma 2.2. *The rank of $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i)\right)\Phi(G)/X\Phi(G)$ is at most k .*

Proof. Otherwise, we could pick $k + 1$ elements b_1, \dots, b_{k+1} in $\bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i)$ which are linearly independent modulo $X\Phi(G)$. Again, for $B = \langle b_1, \dots, b_{k+1} \rangle$, there is $x_{N+1} \in B_G \setminus X\Phi(G)$. Then the set $\{i = 1, \dots, N\} \cup \{x_{N+1}\}$ would also satisfy (1)–(3), contrary to the maximality of N . We have (1) by the choice of the b_i linearly independent modulo $X\Phi(G)$; we have (2), since $x_{N+1} \in B \leq \bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i)$; and (3) holds for x_{N+1} by the same argument as for x_1 above. \square

Corollary. *It suffices to show that the rank of $G / \bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i)$ is at most $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1)$.*

Proof. Note that the ranks of $G / \bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i)$ and $G / (\bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i))\Phi(G)$ are the same. In the series

$$G \geq \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i) \right) \Phi(G) \geq X\Phi(G),$$

the rank of the second factor is at most k , by Lemma 2.2. If the Corollary holds true, then the rank of the first factor is at most $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1)$, and hence the rank of $G/X\Phi(G)$ is at most $k + (k/2 + 1)(k + 1)(2k + 1)$, as required. \square

Now choose the smallest M for which there is a subset $\{g_j \mid j = 1, \dots, M\} \subseteq \{x_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^M C_G(g_j) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i),$$

and fix the corresponding subset $\{g_j \mid j = 1, \dots, M\}$.

The rank of $G/C_G(g_j)$ is at most $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)$ for every j , by condition (3), being actually the rank of one of the $G/C_G(x_i)$. By the Corollary above, it suffices to prove that $M \leq 2k + 1$. For then the rank of

$$G / \bigcap_{i=1}^N C_G(x_i) = G / \bigcap_{j=1}^M C_G(g_j)$$

is at most $2k + 1$ times $(k/2 + 1)(k + 1)$, as required.

By the minimality of M , we have $C_G(g_j) \not\subseteq \bigcap_{l \neq j} C_G(g_l)$ for every $j = 1, \dots, M$. So we choose $h_j \in \bigcap_{l \neq j} C_G(g_l) \setminus C_G(g_j)$, for every $j = 1, \dots, M$. Then $[g_l, h_l] \neq 1$ and $[g_l, h_j] = 1$ for all $j \neq l, j, l = 1, \dots, M$.

We shall need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that A is a finite abelian p -group of rank m and that B is a subgroup of A , and let r be the rank of B . Then there is a subgroup A_1 of A such that $A_1 \cap B = 1$ and the rank of $A_1 A^p / A^p$ is $m - r$.*

Proof. Taking $\Omega_1(B)$ instead of B , we may assume B to be of exponent p . Applying then induction on r , we are left with the case where $B = \langle b \rangle$ is cyclic of order p . Write A as the direct product of cyclics $\langle a_i \rangle$, and let $b = a_{i_0}^\alpha w$, where $a_{i_0}^\alpha \neq 1$ and w is a group word in the $a_j, j \neq i_0$. Then we can take $A_1 = \prod_{j \neq i_0} \langle a_j \rangle$. \square

We introduce the subgroup $K = \langle [g_k, h_k] \mid k = 1, \dots, M \rangle$. Let r be the rank of K . We shall prove the required inequality $M \leq 2k + 1$ in two steps, in the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. *We have $M \leq k + r$.*

Proof. Suppose that $M \geq k + r + 1$. Consider the abelian group

$$A = \langle g_k \mid 1, \dots, M \rangle.$$

The rank of A is M , since the g_j are linearly independent, even modulo $\Phi(G)$ which contains A_p .

Set $D = A \cap K$, the rank of D being at most r . By Lemma 2.3, there is a subgroup H of A that intersects D (and hence K) trivially, such that the rank of HA^p/A^p is $\geq M - r \geq k + 1$. Then $H_G \not\subseteq A^p$, since $|H : H_G| \leq p^k$.

Pick an element $u \in H_G \setminus A^p$. Since the g_j are linearly independent modulo $\Phi(G)$, there are s and $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ such that $u = g_s^\alpha \cdot w$, where $w \in \langle g_i \mid i \neq s \rangle$. Now $[u, h_s] = [g_s, h_s]^\alpha$ is a nontrivial element of K that does not belong to H and hence does not belong to the normal subgroup H_G containing u , a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.5. *We have $r \leq k + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r \geq k + 2$. In order to get a contradiction, we actually reduce the situation to that in the proof of Lemma 2.4 with $r = 1$, for some section of G . (Note that the core- p^k property is inherited by both subgroups and homomorphic images.)

First we factor out K^p . The rank of the image of K in G/K^p remains the same, and the images of the g_k remain linearly independent modulo the Frattini subgroup, since $K^p \leq \Phi(G)$.

Now we choose a subset $\{g_{j_s} \mid s = 1, \dots, r\}$ such that the commutators $[g_{j_s}, h_{j_s}]$, $s = 1, \dots, r$, are linearly independent, that is, generate a subgroup of rank r . Then we glue these commutators to one cyclic subgroup, by factoring out the subgroup

$$\Delta := \langle [g_{j_s}, h_{j_s}] \cdot [g_{j_t}, h_{j_t}]^{-1} \mid s \neq t, s, t = 1, \dots, r \rangle.$$

Again, the images of the g_{j_s} in $G/K^p\Delta$ remain linearly independent modulo the Frattini subgroup. Now the argument from the proof of Lemma 2.4 can be applied to the image of the subgroup $\langle g_{j_s}, h_{j_t} \mid s, t = 1, \dots, r \rangle$ in $G/K^p\Delta$, to arrive at a contradiction. \square

By the remarks above, the proof of Proposition 2.1, and hence those of Theorems 1 and 2, is now complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.T. Buckley, J.C. Lennox, B.H. Neumann, H. Smith and J. Wiegold, *Groups with all subgroups normal-by-finite*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **59** (1995) 384–398.
- [2] G. Cutolo, E.I. Khukhro, J.C. Lennox, S. Rinauro, H. Smith and J. Wiegold, *Core- p groups*, J. Algebra, to appear.
- [3] G. Cutolo, J.C. Lennox, S. Rinauro, H. Smith and J. Wiegold, *On infinite core-finite groups*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad., to appear.
- [4] O.H. Kegel and B.A.F. Wehrfritz, *Locally finite groups*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [5] J.C. Lennox, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, H. Smith and J. Wiegold, *Some finiteness conditions concerning intersections of conjugates of subgroups*, Glasgow Math. J. **37** (1995) 327–335.
- [6] J.C. Lennox, H. Smith and J. Wiegold, *Finite p -groups in which subgroups have large cores*, in *Infinite Groups 1994*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 163–169.
Proceedings of 'Infinite Groups 1994', de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [7] B.H. Neumann, *Groups with finite classes of conjugate subgroups*, Math. Z. **63** (1955) 76–96.
- [8] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Geometry of defining relations in groups*. Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [9] H. Smith and J. Wiegold, *Locally graded groups with all subgroups normal-by-finite*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **60** (1996) 222–227.
- [10] J. Wiegold, *Some finiteness conditions in groups*, in *Infinite Groups 1994*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 295–299.